

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/508,938		09/27/2004	Wilhelm Christ	R.41894-1	5218
2119	7590	12/05/2005		EXAMINER	
RONALD E. GREIGG GREIGG & GREIGG P.L.L.C. 1423 POWHATAN STREET, UNIT ONE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				NGUYEN, DINH Q	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3752	

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/508,938	CHRIST ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHI0 - Extended after af	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 S	September 2004.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 13-26 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>13,14,16,20-24 and 26</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) <u>15,17-19 and 25</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea	, ,,					
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom reprioritor (1 10-102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/508,938

Art Unit: 3752

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 13, 14, 16, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mock.

Mock discloses a fuel injector having a valve body, a valve needle 14, a conical valve seat 11, a pressure chamber 39, a valve sealing surface 42, an annular groove 40, a sealing edge 45, hydraulic means 44 connecting annular groove 44 to the pressure chamber 39 (see figure 5), the first conical surface 11 and a second conical surface 42 (see figure 1).

3. Claims 13, 14, 16, 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holzgrefe et al.

Holzgrefe et al discloses a fuel injector having a valve body 15, a valve needle 6, a conical valve seat 23, a pressure chamber 41, a valve sealing surface 21, an annular groove (a portion formed between seats 20 and 21 as shown in figure 3), a sealing edge (a portion between seats 21 and 23 as shown in figure 3), connecting bore 40 extending inside the needle 6 for connecting annular groove to the pressure chamber 41, the first conical surface 21 and a second conical surface 20 (see figure 3).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mock or Holzgrefe et al in view of Kato.

Mock or Holzgrefe et al teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the fuel pressure of more than 100 MPa. However, Kato discloses a fuel injector that operating at fuel pressure of 120MPa. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Mock or Holzgrefe et al with he fuel pressure of more than 100 MPa as suggested by Kato. Doing so would provide a way to operate a fuel injector at high fuel pressure.

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 15-19, and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to show the art with respect to a fuel injector: Noguchi et al., Hashimoto et al., and Linder et al.

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dinh Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

dqn